	Case 3:11-cv-03047-FLW Do	cument 10	Filed 05/19/11	Page 1 of 3 PageID: 153	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	NOT FOR CITATION				
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
10	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
11	CHARLES R. GETSINGER,)	No. C 11-0114	13 IF (PR)	
12	Petitioner,	j	ORDER OF T	, ,	
13	vs.				
14)			
15	HARRY HAGEMAN, et al.,	j			
16	Respondents.))			
17		·			
18	Petitioner, a parolee proceeding <u>pro</u> <u>se</u> , filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus				
19	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, challenging his sentence. Petitioner has paid the filing fee.				
20	According to the petition, Petitioner was convicted of murder and sentenced to life				
21	in the State of New Jersey in January 1976. (Pet. at 2.) In January 1989, he was released				
22	on parole and transferred to California under "interstate parole." (<u>Id.</u>) Petitioner claims				
23	he was given a term of 21 years with supervision, with a discharge date of January 2010.				
24	(<u>Id.</u>) When he began inquiring about his discharge papers in January 2010, he was told				
25	that a mistake had been made, and that his new discharge date was January 2099. (<u>Id.</u>)				
26	Petitioner was told that the change came from New Jersey. (<u>Id</u> .) Petitioner seeks				
27	cessation of parole supervision. (<u>Id.</u> at 1.)				
28	Petitions challenging a conviction are preferably heard in the district of conviction				
	Order of Transfer P:\PRO-SE\SJ.JF\HC.11\01143Getsinger_transfer.wpo	i 1			

See Habeas L.R. 2254-3(a); Laue v. Nelson, 279 F. Supp. 265, 266 (N.D. Cal. 1968); cf. Dunne v. Henman, 875 F.2d 244, 249 (9th Cir. 1989) (district of confinement best forum to review execution of sentence). Although Petitioner resides in Fremont, California, he is serving a sentence for a conviction out of the State of New Jersey, which is not within the habeas jurisdiction of this Court. Furthermore, Petitioner is challenging an allegedly unlawful change in his discharge date that was made by the State of New Jersey. Accordingly, the Court finds that the United States District Court for New Jersey is the more appropriate venue for this action. This case is hereby TRANSFERRED to the United States District Court for New Jersey. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 110, 1404(a); Habeas L.R. 2254-3(b)(1). The Clerk shall transfer the entire file to the United States District Court for New Jersey. IT IS SO ORDERED. 5/5/11 DATED: United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CHARLES R GETSINGER III,	Case Number: CV11-01143 JF		
Petitioner,	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
v.			
HARRY HAGEMAN, et al.,			
Respondents.			
I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I a Court, Northern District of California.	am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District		
That on	, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) velope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into ed in the Clerk's office.		
Charles R. Getsinger 41060 High Street Suite B Fremont, CA 94538			
Dated: 5/19/11			
	Richard W. Wieking, Clerk		